Previous references.

61-01

File No. ~~29 of 01/02~~

1901-02

(Police)

Serial Nos. 1-4

Later references.

Police file No 94
of 03-04
Sh 1-4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-4

Part B. for

SUBJECT.

~~Bill of fees on behalf~~ Appointment of Mr Ramanna Advocate Mysore, for defending certain Police officers of the Mysore City

Table of Contents

Sl No 1. No 5078/3567 of 15-5-02 From the Dy of Police Requesting Sanction to engage the services of a lawyer to undertake the defence of Police officers accused by one Mallappa.

Sl No 2 No 1835-37/1661 of 19-5-02 To the Dy of Police Sanctioning the employment of a lawyer to undertake the defence and the question of recompense will be considered after the result of the case is known

G. R. S. 58

P T O

Sl No 3. No 2680/196 of 16-12-02 From the Sy of Police

Forwarding for sanction a bill for Rs 125 claimed by Mr Ramanna Advocate of Mysore engaged to conduct defence on behalf of Mysore City Police.

Sl No 4. No J 973 of 29/12/02 To the Sy of Police

Requesting to be informed whether in his opinion the conduct of the accused persons in the case as proved departmentally has been sufficiently blameless to render it unnecessary to call upon them to recoup the whole or any portion of the expense of their defence.

Indexed

W. B. Thimmaraya

Office Note

Judicial

SECTION

FILE No.

SERIAL No.

Subject :— Appointment of an Advocate for defending at Govt. Cost certain Police officers of the Mysore City who were accused of having caused hurt to one Mallia for the purpose of extorting a confession from him in the investigation of a theft case.

Note XX 2

Ref- Letter No 5078/357 dated 15th May 1902, from the Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

The Inspector General of Police forwards for favourable orders letter No 2692 from the Dy Secy to the Mysore Govt, in which the latter requests sanction to the employment of a lawyer to undertake the defence of a case in which one Mallappa has falsely accused a Chief Constable, a Serjeant and some Constables of the Mysore City Police of having caused hurt to him while in their custody with the object of extorting a confession in connection with a

2 theft case. The Dy
Comr further states that
Mr Raja Rao, Public
Prosecutor, is unable
to take up the defence
of the case owing to
ill-health, and that
therefore it is necessary
to engage the services
of another lawyer, whose
fee, he says, will
probably not be more
than that to be paid
to the Public Prosecutor
when he is asked to
appear on behalf
of Govt.

The case is recom-
-mended to be defended
at Govt cost as the
complaint is false
and the men have
been accused in
their capacity as
public Servants.
The cost is proposed
to be met from the

the General case
of the current year
Police Budget. Up
to submitted for orders.

17/5/02 The case is said to have been
posted on the 16th inst. If
copy of the complaint petition,
if any, would have enabled me
to judge for itself whether the
complaint was true or false.
Sanction may perhaps be
given to the employment of
~~a counsel~~ a lawyer
to undertake the defence, the question
of ~~fees~~ recovery of fees from the
accused persons being considered
after the result of the case is
known.

17.5.02

Please issue orders as above

17/5/02

Draft submitted

17/5/02

Orders issued. The Inspector
General now forwards for sanction
a bill for Rs 125 on account of
fees due to Mr. A. Ramanna

Bill verified & found

of for conducting the defence
in the case and requests sanction
to the same, the amount being
met from the "Savings of the Police
budget". ~~bears the file no.~~ The
case has ended in the discharge
of the accused.

Submitted for orders with a draft
sanctioning the bill.

N. Mr Ramanna is
over of course entitled to his
fees. But when sanctioning
the engagement of a Lawyer
to undertake the defence,
the first proposal kept open
the question of the recovery
of the expense by the accused
person, to be considered after ascertaining
the result of the case. The
mere fact of the accused
having been discharged for lack
of sufficient evidence may not possibly
[redacted] amount to an entire absolution
from blame.

The attention of the Spl Mag
may perhaps be drawn to the last
portion of the f.o. ~~of~~ of 19.3.82.

(Vide file order no. 1 0353-4/15-3
J. 1681.

and he may be requested to express
his opinion as to whether the conduct
of the said persons in the case has been
such as to ~~entitle~~ justify their
~~their~~ render it unnecessary to call
upon them to defray the whole or
any portion of the expenses of
their defence.

20/12/02

Enquiry as above may be made
before final orders are passed.
Please return file 20/12/02

Draft submitted
SIR
20/12/02

Draft approved & issued
(Docket No. 9973 & 29-12-02)

The Hon'ble Govt. of Police in reference to the
bill with his letter No. 6315/383 dt. 16-4-04
and states that in his opinion the Police
officers concerned were unnecessarily subjected
to considerable anxiety and expense in defend-
ing against themselves against the charges,
which

were not substantiated, and that there was no evidence worth considering to prove that during the investigation the complainant Mallappa was subjected by the Police to any illegal force for the extortion of evidence.

Subd with a draft order, sanctioning the bill & pointing out the delay.

17/5/04

(1) Is there not a standing order that the maximum fee payable in any one case is ordinarily only Rs 100? Let me see it.

(2) Detach bill, & put to draft

17.5.04

subd.

(1) There was such an order before, but it omitted in the revised rules issued with Govt Proceedings No. 1932-85 / J.137 of 02-03 dated 16th March 1904.

(2) Attended to.

Draft attached to classed (6) of Bill

and ask that the bill be countersigned by the Magistrate.

17.5.04

taken over Letter proper portion of the file - 13-Aug 19

25-8-04

Space Copy

J 1753

Re. No. 14596.

19 MAY 1902

SECTT. [illegible]

FILE No. [illegible]

SERIAL No. [illegible]

FROM

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL
OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPTS.

Dated } 15th May
Despatched } 1902

Received

General No. 5078
No. 357

Enclosure No. 1 file

Submitting copies of correspondence from the Assistant Superintendent of Police and the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District and requesting sanction of Government to engage the services of a lawyer to undertake the defence of Police officers accused by one Mallappa

MGCPE—5-3-01-1000

J 16/5 Sub for order
with a note
Ex 17/5/02

OFFICE OF THE

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. 5078/357 Dated. Bangalore 15th May 1902.

Enc. 1 file

From B. K. Venkataramadiengar Esq,

Offg ~~Asst~~ INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To H. V. Nanjundiah Esq, B. A. B. L.

~~The~~ SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
in the GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

Sir,

I beg to submit herewith in original for favorable orders letter No: 2692 of the 12th May 1902 received today from the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District recommending that, in the matter of the complaint of assault brought against certain police men of the Mysore city, an advocate may be engaged to defend them in as much as the complaint is false and the men have been accused in their capacity as public servants. As the case is to come off shortly, early orders are solicited.—

I have the honor to be,
Sir.
Your most obedient servant,

B. K. Venkat

Offg. Inspector General of Police

Draft as per order

SECTION —

FILE No. —

Misl No 2 Read: —

(2) 2

Letter No 5078/357 dated 15th May 1902 from the Inspector General of Police in Mysore, submitting for favourable orders a letter from the Dy. Comr. Mysore D.O. recommending that for reasons stated ~~the~~ sanction may be accorded to the employment of a lawyer to undertake the defence of a case in which one Mallappa has brought a complaint in the City Magistrate's Court, Mysore, against certain Police officers of the Mysore City Police ~~of the Court~~ ~~of the City Magistrate~~ of having caused

hurt to him with the object of extorting a confession in connection with a theft case.

No. 18353 1901

Order thereon:—

A copy of the Complaint Petition, if submitted, would have enabled Govt to judge for itself whether the Complaint was true or false. Sanction is however accorded to the employment of a lawyer to undertake the defence; and the question of the ~~recovery~~ recompense of ~~the~~ expense by ~~from~~ the accused persons will be considered after the result of the case is known.

11.3.02

for Secretary

To The Inspector General of Police

The Complt. etc. [illegible]

SECTION ... FROM

... No. ...

To

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL
OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPTS.

OFFICE OF THE
DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
MYSORE
No. 6791.
17 DEC 1902

1000

3

Dated 16th December 02

Despatched

Received

General No. 2680

No. 196

Enclosure No. one file

Forwarding for sanction a bill for Rs 125/- claimed by Mr: Ramanna Advocate of Mysore for having conducted engaged to defence on behalf of the Mysore city Police in a certain case

Jml
17.12.02

Rec'd 19-12-02.

MGCPB—5-3-01-1000

M 403.

OFFICE OF THE
INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. Dated.... November 1902

Enc.

From E. Madiah Esquire, Rao Bahadur
~~THE~~ INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To H. V. Nanjundayya Esquire, M.A. M.L
~~THE~~ SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No: 18353-4 / J1661 dated 19th May 1902, sanctioning the employment of a lawyer to undertake the defence in Criminal Case No: 695 and 73 of 1901:02 on the file of the City Magistrate, Mysore, in which the Police Officers of the Mysore City were accused by one Mallappa of having caused hurt to him to extort confession in a theft case, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of

Letter No: 1136 dated 28th November 1902 with enclosures in original to be 5
kindly returned and a bill for
Rs 125. being the amount of fee
claimed by Mr. A. Ramannah, Advocate,
engaged to conduct defence on behalf
of the Police Officers of the Mysore
City, and to request that the amount
of the bill may be sanctioned, to
be met from "Savings of the Police
Budget", and transmitted to the Controller
for audit and return to this Office.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.

Inspector General of Police

No: 1136

On No. 1 file.

Civil Side No. 6

Office of the
Deputy Commissioner of Mysore,
Dated Mysore, 28th November 1902.

From,

H. Ramanuja Iyengar, Esq.,
Officiating Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To,

C. Madiah Esquire, Rao Bahadur,
Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

Sir,

Adverting to your Official Memo No: 5768/466 of the 19th May 1902, forwarding a copy of Government Proceedings No: 18353/J.1661 of the same date, according sanction to engage the services of an Advocate for defending certain Police Officers of the Mysore City Police force who were accused of having caused hurt to one Mallian for the purpose

of

of extorting a confession from him, I have the honor to enclose herein a bill for Rs 125 claimed by Mr. Ramanuja Advocate, who had been employed in this connection and to request that you will be so good as to obtain sanction of Government for the same. The case has ended in the discharge of the accused.

2. The papers noted in the margin are also herewith forwarded for reference and obliging return when no longer required.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.
sd/- H. Ramanaja Iyengar,
Officiating Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

1. Docket No: 209, dated 9th September 1902 from the Assistant Superintendent of Police, Mysore.
2. Docket No: 424, dated 20th November 1902 from the same Officer with a bill for Rs 125/-

"Copy"

sd/- [illegible]
Inspector General of Police

Encld! to St No 3 8

Certified that Mr A. Ramanna Advocate appeared on behalf of defence in Criminal Case Nos 69 and 73 of 1901–'02 on the file of the City Magistrate and 1st Assistant Commr and 1st Class Magistrate Mysore District, on the following dates: —

16th May 1902		Case taken up and adjourned
21st	Do	Do
22nd	Do	Do
7th June 1902	—	appeared, but case was adjd
11th	Do	Case proceeded with & adjd
~~12th~~	~~Do~~	~~Do~~ ~~Do~~
13th	Do	appeared, but case was adjd
14th	Do	Do
17th	Do	Do
21st	Do	Do
23rd	Do	Case proceeded with
24th	Do	was present during delivery of Judgment.

C Anantharam

Asst Commissioner and 1st Class Magistrate Mysore Dist

K.V.

9

(4)

SECT
FILE V
SERIAL N.

To

The D. G. of Police in
Mysore.

No. J973
Date: 29-12.02.

With letter No. 2650/196 dated the
16th December 1902, Subg for sanctio
a bill for Rs 125 being the fees
claimed by Mr A. Ramanna,
Advocate, for having defended
certain Police officers of the Mysore
City who were accused of having
caused hurt to one Malliah
for the purpose of extorting a con-
-fession from him in a theft
case.

Adverting to the above, has the

the Honble to invite his attention to the last sentence of Govt Proceedings No: 18353-4/1661 dated the 19th March 1902 ~~sanctioning the defence employment of a lawyer~~ and to request to be informed whether in his opinion the conduct of the accused persons in the case as judged departmentally has been ~~culpable~~ blameless ~~so far as~~ to render it unnecessary to call upon them to recoup the whole or any portion of the expense of their defence.

The original enclosures to his letter ~~under reference~~ are herewith returned. By order of reference & return

By Secy[illegible]

26.12.02
for Secretary

SN
26/12/02

signed
26/12/02